

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/467,938 12/21/99 CURRO J 7897 **EXAMINER** IM22/0928 COLE,E RODDY M BULLOCK **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY SHARON WOODS TECHNICAL CENTER 11450 GROOMS ROAD 1771 CINCINNATI OH 45242 **DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/28/01

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/467,938

Applicant(s)

Curro et al

Examiner

Elizabeth M. Cole

Art Unit 1771



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
co - Failur - Any r	mmunication.	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is refer to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>10-28</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign part of $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
-, -	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
		e been received in Application No
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
·		
Attachm		19) Letaniau Surman (DTO.413) Pener Note)
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:
· / / _ "	monnection decidence occionantial in 10-14-1011 appriliately.	· <b>-</b>

Serial Number: 09/467,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 10-27, drawn to a laminate web having a plurality of apertures, classified in class 428, subclass 131+.
- II. Claims 28-30, drawn to an absorbent article, classified in class 604, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a wiper and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial Number: 09/467,938 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Ī

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The

examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final

faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M COCO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c

September 27, 2001